



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,311	09/26/2003	Adrianne K. Tipton	NOVLP075/NVLS-000820	4463
22434	7590	09/07/2004		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			EXAMINER COLEMAN, WILLIAM D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/672,311

Applicant(s)

TIPTON ET AL.

Examiner

W. David Coleman

Art Unit

2823

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8-11, 13-22 and 24-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6, 7, 12, 23 and 37 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/03</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-5, 8-11, 13-22, 24-30 and 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mukherjee et al., U.S. Patent 6,444,715 B1.

Mukherjee discloses a semiconductor process as claimed. See FIGS. 1-6, where Mukherjee teaches the claimed process.

4. Pertaining to claim 1, Mukherjee teaches a method of preparing a porous low-k dielectric material on a substrate, the method comprising:

forming a precursor film **120** on the substrate **110**, the precursor film comprising a porogen and a structure former; and

exposing the precursor film to ultraviolet radiation to facilitate removing the porogen from the precursor film (column 4, lines 45-46) and thereby create voids within the dielectric material to form the porous low-k dielectric material (column 10, lines 17-21).

5. Pertaining to claim 2, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the precursor film comprises a porogen and a silicon-containing structure former (column 5, lines 37-39).

6. Pertaining to claim 3, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the precursor film is formed by co-depositing the porogen with the structure former (Example 2, column 12, lines 1-13).

7. Pertaining to claim 4, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the structure former is produced from at least one of a silane, an alkylsilane, an alkoxysilane and a siloxane (please note that the Examiner takes the position that the term "siloxane" is a broad term to also include tetrasiloxane as disclosed in column 8, line 6).

8. Pertaining to claim 5, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the structure former is produced from octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (OMCTS), tetramethylcyclotetrasiloxane (TMCTS) or a combination thereof (column 8, lines 22-28).

9. Pertaining to claim 8, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the porogen has ordered structure (see column 7, lines 59-61).

Art Unit: 2823

10. Pertaining to claim 9, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 8, wherein the porogen comprises a mesoporous structure formed from a block copolymer (column 7, lines 54-68).
11. Pertaining to claim 10, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the porogen and structure former exist in one precursor molecule.
12. Pertaining to claim 11, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 10, wherein the compound is an organic silane (column 8, lines 23-25).
13. Pertaining to claim 13, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the precursor film is formed by a chemical vapor deposition process (although Mukherjee does not specifically state the use of a CVD system, it is well known that FLARE TM is used in CVD systems).
14. Pertaining to claim 14, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the precursor film is formed by a spin-on technique (column 9, lines 58-59).
15. Pertaining to claim 15, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein exposing the precursor film to ultraviolet radiation takes place in an inert environment (please note that Mukherjee teaches the claimed process in both atmospheric and sub atmospheric pressures, column 9, lines 21-29).

16. Pertaining to claim 16, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 15, wherein the ultraviolet radiation comprises light with a wavelength at or near an absorption peak of the porogen (please note that the Examiner takes the position that if the irradiated light is transmitted through the porogen without any absorption, there would be no change occurring in the fabrication process, namely the extraction component as described in column 4, line 38).

17. Pertaining to claim 17, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 15, wherein the inert environment comprises a gas selected from the group consisting of nitrogen, argon, helium and hydrogen (column 9, line 29).

18. Pertaining to claim 18, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 15, wherein the inert environment comprises vacuum conditions (as disclosed in the rejection of claim 15).

19. Pertaining to claim 19, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein exposing the precursor film to ultraviolet radiation takes place in the presence of oxygen (it is well known that oxygen is a component of air at atmospheric pressure).

20. Pertaining to claim 20, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 19, wherein the ultraviolet radiation comprises light having a wavelength that produces at least one of ozone and oxygen radicals (it is well known that uv radiation causes oxygen to form either ozone or oxygen radicals or both, this is how several of the air filtration systems work that are sold in major hardware stores).

Art Unit: 2823

21. Pertaining to claim 21, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the substrate temperature during exposure to ultraviolet radiation ranges between about 25 and 450 degrees Celsius (column 10, lines 9-20).
22. Pertaining to claim 22, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising annealing the porous low-k dielectric material (column 1, lines 8-38).
23. Pertaining to claim 24, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 23, wherein the silanol capping agent is selected from the group consisting of disilazanes, chlorosilanes, aldehydes, and combinations thereof (please note that the Examiner takes the position that Mukherjee discloses the use of hexamethydisilazane which has been miss-spelled hexanethydisilazane).
24. Pertaining to claim 25, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 23, wherein the silanol capping agent is HMDS (please note that hexamethydisilazane is abbreviated HMDS).
25. Pertaining to claim 26, Mukherjee teaches a method of preparing a porous low-k dielectric material on a partially fabricated integrated circuit, the method comprising:
- providing the partially fabricated integrated circuit to a process chamber (because Mukherjee discloses interconnectivity in column 1, lines 10-11, as partially fabricated integrated circuit is disclosed), wherein the partially fabricated integrated circuit comprises a precursor film having a porogen and a structure former;

exposing the partially fabricated integrated circuit to ultraviolet radiation in an inert environment such that the ultraviolet radiation interacts with the porogen to produce a volatile decomposition products; and removing the volatile decomposition products from the precursor film, leaving the porous low-k dielectric material on the partially fabricated integrated circuit (as applied to claim 1 above).

26. Pertaining to claim 27, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 26, wherein the ultraviolet radiation comprises wavelengths ranging between about 156 and 500 nm (it is well known that ultraviolet wavelength fall within the claimed range).

27. Pertaining to claim 28, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 26, wherein the inert environment comprises an inert gas (column 9, lines 29).

28. Pertaining to claim 29, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 28, wherein inert gas is at least one of nitrogen, argon, helium or hydrogen gas (as applied to claim 28 above).

29. Pertaining to claim 30, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 26;, wherein the inert environment comprises vacuum conditions (column 9, lines 22-29).

30. Pertaining to claim 32, Mukherjee teaches a method of preparing a porous low-k dielectric material on a partially fabricated integrated circuit, the method comprising: providing the partially fabricated integrated circuit to a process chamber, wherein the partially fabricated

Art Unit: 2823

integrated circuit comprises a precursor film having a porogen and a structure former; and exposing the partially fabricated integrated circuit to ultraviolet radiation in the presence of oxygen to produce oxidizing conditions in which the porogen is oxidized to produce porogen oxidation products, which are removed from the precursor film, leaving the porous low-k dielectric material on the partially fabricated integrated circuit (as applied to claims 1 and 26 above).

31. Pertaining to claim 33, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 32, wherein the ultraviolet radiation directly interacts with the porogen to produce volatile decomposition products, thereby facilitating removal of the porogen from the precursor film (as described above).

32. Pertaining to claim 34, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 32, wherein the oxidizing conditions comprise at least one of ozone and oxygen radicals.

33. Pertaining to claim 35, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 32, wherein the ultraviolet radiation comprises light at a wavelength that produces at least one of ozone and oxygen radicals (as described above).

34. Pertaining to claim 36, Mukherjee teaches the method of claim 35, wherein the ultraviolet radiation comprises wavelengths ranging between about 156 and 500 nm (as applied to the rejection of claim 27).

Art Unit: 2823

Objections

35. Claims 6, 7, 12, 23 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. David Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-1856.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

37. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

38. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



W. David Coleman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

WDC